

FOURIER PHASE ANALYSIS IN RADIO-INTERFEROMETRY

François Levrier



Zentrum für Astronomie und Astrophysik Seminar April 18th 2006

Complex structures in density and velocity

TAURUS



(Goldsmith et al., in prep.)

> Dynamical structures on scales 0.01 pc to 100 pc

Research topics

- **>** Turbulent support versus star formation
- ► CMB foreground ↔ polarization, dust, magnetic field



A new generation of observational means



- ► High angular and spectral resolutions
- > Wide dynamical and frequency ranges covered

Makes inversion a possibility

The inversion problem



> Physical fields (3D) are projected onto observables (2D + 1D)

> Recovering information on ρ , \vec{v} , etc... from the channel maps is a vast problem

> Requires understanding the effects of instrumental filters

How does the instrumental filter alter structure ?

Interferometry in a nutshell



Antenna pairs measure correlations at lag \vec{b}

$$J = T_F^{-1}[C.T_F[B.I]] = T_F^{-1}[V]$$

 $C(ec{b}):(u,v)$ cover $V(ec{b}):$ visibility function

How is *I*'s structure encoded in *J* ?

Statistical measures on an *n*-dimensional field *F*

> Second order structure function $S(\vec{r}) = \left\langle \left[F(\vec{x} + \vec{r}) - F(\vec{x})\right]^2 \right\rangle_{\vec{x}}$

> Autocorrelation function $A(\vec{r}) = \langle F(\vec{x})F(\vec{x}+\vec{r}) \rangle_{\vec{x}}$

> Power spectrum $P(\vec{k}) = |\widehat{F}(\vec{k})|^2$

Direct numerical approach



What statistical tools are the most reliable ?

Simple statistical behavior

- $\succ S(\vec{r}) \propto |\vec{r}|^{2H}$ with $H \in [0,1]$
- $ightarrow P(\vec{k}) \propto |\vec{k}|^{-eta}$ with eta = 2H + n
- **>** Fully random phases

Numerical implementation

- **>** Ease of generation in Fourier space
- > Models of the diffuse interstellar medium

(Stutzki et al., 1998; Bensch et al., 2001; Brunt & Heyer, 2002; Miville-Deschênes et al., 2003)

$$eta=2$$
 $eta=2,5$ $eta=3$ $eta=3,5$ $eta=4$

Interferometer simulator



Visibility based => Possibility to include noise
 Homogeneous arrays only / Flexible configurations



Simulation parameters

Simulated instruments

- > Atacama Large Millimeter Array
- Very Large Array
- **>** IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer

Observing parameters

- > Array location : longitude -67.75° , latitude $-23.02^{\circ} \iff ALMA$
- > Source declination : $\delta = -20^{\circ}$
- > Observing wavelength : $\lambda = 1.3 \text{ mm}$
- > Source is tracked as long as it remains at least 10° above the horizon





- 64 antennae with 12 meter diameter
- Frequency range: 30 GHz 950 GHZ
- 4096 spectral channels
- 16 GHz bandwidth
- Baselines: 150 m 18 km
- First antennae: 2007 / Full array: 2012

Over 4000 instantaneous baselines \implies Excellent (u, v) coverage









Power spectrum and structure function stability



Power spectrum is more stable

11/29

Adaptation of statistical tools to the measurement space

- ► Structure function ⇔ *Direct space* ⇔ Single dish
- ► Power spectrum ⇔ *Fourier space* ⇔ Aperture synthesis

Going further...

- Visibilities are amplitude + phase
- > Power spectra only make use of amplitudes

How to make use of the phases ?



A telling numerical experiment



Information in the Fourier-spatial distribution of phases

Phase increments

Defined as Δφ(k, δ) = φ(k + δ) − φ(k) for a given lag vector δ
 Statistics of phase increments should trace the structure lost in the reshuffling
 Probability distribution functions ρ(Δφ) approximated by histograms ρ(Δφ)

Limiting cases



Compressible hydrodynamical turbulence simulation (*Porter et al., 1994*)



Requires quantification of non-uniformity

18/04/2006

von Mises distribution

$$ho(\Delta\phi)=rac{1}{2\pi I_0(\kappa)}e^{-\kappa cos(\Delta\phi-\mu)}$$

(Watts et al., 2003)



18/04/2006



Characterizations of non-uniformity

> Phase entropy : $\mathcal{S}(\vec{\delta}) = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \rho(\Delta\phi) \ln[\rho(\Delta\phi)] d\Delta\phi$ (Polygiannakis & Moussas, 1995)

> "Phase structure quantity" : $\mathcal{Q}(\vec{\delta}) = ln(2\pi) - \mathcal{S}(\vec{\delta}) \ge 0$

Relation to the von Mises parameter κ



Finite size images

- Uniform PDFs do not lead to uniform histograms
- > Structure quantities \tilde{Q} for numerical fractional Brownian motions are not zero
- > May lead to false detection of phase structure

What is the contribution of statistical noise to \tilde{Q} ?

Parameters

- > Number of phase increments : *p*
- ► Number of histogram bins : *n*

Given these, estimate an upper limit of $P(\tilde{Q} > x)$ for any x > 0

Analytical approach

Suppose uniform distribution $ho(\Delta\phi)$

Extraordinary histograms

- One value ρ˜_i strays "too much" from the average r (quantified by ε > 0)
 This defines the event Ω_ε = {∃i; |ρ˜_i r| > εr}
- ▶ For large enough *p* and *n*, the central limit theorem applies :

$$P(\Omega_{\epsilon}) \leq P_1 = n - n \operatorname{Erf}\left(\epsilon \sqrt{rac{p}{2(n-1)}}
ight)$$

Regular histograms

► Results due to Castellan (2000)

$$P(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} > x) \leq P_2 = P\left(\chi^2 > \frac{2(1-\epsilon)^2 px}{1+\epsilon}\right)$$

General case

$$P(ilde{\mathcal{Q}} > x) \leq P_1 + P_2$$

Adaptive and fixed upper limits

Adaptive procedure

- ▶ 1 : Choose value of P_1 such that $P_1 \ll 1$
- **>** 2 : Deduce ϵ given n and p
- ▶ 3 : Choose value of P_2 such that $P_1 \ll P_2 \ll 1$
- ► 4 : Use quantiles of χ^2 to deduce x

 $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is less than x with probability $1 - P_2$

Fixed procedure

- > Steps 1 and 2 replaced by fixing a value for ϵ
- Steps 3 and 4 as before

 $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is less than x for "usual" n and p but P_1 may be greater than 1

Adaptive procedure may be too conservative Fixed procedure may fail

Numerical approach

> Generate Fractional Brownian motions and compute Q

> Vary field size and number of bins



Primary beam attenuation

- **Convolution in Fourier space**
- Mosaic observations effectively reduce kernel size

Not considered \iff **Pointlike antennae**

Pillbox gridding

- Measured phases associated with "wrong" wavenumber

Atmospheric phase noise

Atmospheric turbulence makes phase space- and time-dependent Considered



The input brightness distribution

Column density of a compressible hydrodynamical simulation for which $\mathcal{Q}\simeq 0.01$

The parameters

- > 3 possible arrays
- Single or multiple configurations (possibly trimmed)
- Atmospheric phase noise

The questions asked

How long does it take to achieve a significant detection of phase structure ?
How long does it take to recover the actual phase structure quantity ?

> What level of turbulence still allows detection of phase structure ?

Noise-free observations with Plateau de Bure



Noise-free observations with the VLA





Noise-free observations with ALMA





Atmospheric phase noise



Noisy observations with ALMA



Conclusions

Detection of phase structure

- **>** Requires extended ALMA configuration
- Atmospheric phase noise not critical

Measurement of phase structure

> Requires multiple ALMA configurations

Open questions

- > Allow for variations of $\vec{\delta}$
- ► Interpretation of phase structure quantities ⇐⇒ Physical processes