Cosmic ray ionization rate from H_3^+ in PDR/MHD simulations

F. Levrier
P. Hennebelle
P. Lesaffre
M. Gerin
E. Falgarone
(LERMA - ENS)

F. Le Petit
(LUTH - Observatoire de Paris)

Laboratoire d'Étude du Rayonnement et de la Matière en Astrophysique

Laboratoire de l'Univers et de ses Théories

COSMIS meeting, Paris, 14-15 november 2012

Talk overview

- H_3^+ chemistry and cosmic ray ionization rate
- PDR / MHD simulations
- Local vs. global CR ionization rate estimates
- "Dark neutral gas"
- The other effects of density fluctuations

H_3^+ chemistry

Indriolo & McCall 2012

FORMATION

$$H_2 + CR \rightarrow H_2^+ + e^- + CR'$$

then

$$H_2 + H_2^+ \rightarrow H_3^+ + H$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} H_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow H + H \\ H_2^+ + H \rightarrow H_2 + H^+ \end{array} \right)$$

CR ionization of molecular hydrogen dominates over photoionization (E>15.4 eV)

DESTRUCTION

In diffuse clouds :

$$k_e \begin{cases} H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + H \\ H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H + H + H \end{cases}$$

In dense clouds :

$$H_3^+ + CO \rightarrow HCO^+ + H_2$$

$$H_3^+ + CO \rightarrow HOC^+ + H_2$$

$$H_3^+ + O \rightarrow OH^+ + H_2$$

$$H_3^+ + N_2 \rightarrow HN_2^+ + H_2$$

Formation of molecular ions essential to drive more complex chemistry

CR ionization rate from H_3^+ data

Equilibrium in diffuse clouds

$$\zeta_{\rm local} = k_e n(e) \frac{n(\mathrm{H}_3^+)}{n(\mathrm{H}_2)}$$

But data comes integrated on the line of sight...

Observations cannot measure changes in these parameters along a line of sight, so we assume a uniform cloud with path length L and constant x_e, k_e, $n_{\rm H}$, and $n(\mathrm{H}_3^+)/n(\mathrm{H}_2)$.

Indriolo & McCall 2012

$$\zeta_{\rm LOS} = \langle k_e \rangle \langle n(e) \rangle \frac{N(\mathrm{H}_3^+)}{N(\mathrm{H}_2)}$$

$$\zeta_{\rm local} \overset{?}{=} \zeta_{\rm LOS}$$

UV-driven chemistry of a simulated ISM

Levrier et al. 2012



The Meudon PDR code



Stationary 1D model, including :

- UV radiative transfer:
  - Absorption in molecular lines
  - Absorption in the continuum (dust)
  - 10000's of lines
- Chemistry :
  - Several hundred chemical species
  - Network of sevral thousand chemical reactions
  - Photoionization
  - Cosmic ray ionization
- Statistical equilibrium of level populations
  - Radiative and collisional excitations and de-excitations
  - Photodissociation
- Thermal balance:
  - Photoelectric effect
  - Chemistry
  - Cosmic rays
  - Atomic and molecular cooling

$$\zeta_0 = 5\ 10^{-17}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

Outputs :

- Local quantities :
  - Abundance and excitation of species
  - Temperature of gas and duts
  - Detailed heating and cooling rates
  - Energy density
  - Gas and grain temperatures
  - Chemical reaction rates
- Integrated quantities on the line of sight :
  - Species column densities
  - Line intensities
  - Absorption of the radiation field
  - Spectra

Le Bourlot et al. 1999
Le Petit et al. 2006
Goicoechea & Le Bourlot 2007
Gonzalez-Garcia et al. 2008

http://pdr.obspm.fr/

Compressible MHD turbulence simulation

Hennebelle et al. 2008



- RAMSES code (Teysier 2002, Fromang et al. 2006)
- Adaptive Mesh Refinement with up to 14 levels
- Converging flows of warm (10,000 K) atomic gas
- Periodic boundary conditions on remaining 4 sides
- Includes magnetic field, atomic cooling and self-gravity consistently
- Covers scales 0.05 pc - 50 pc
- Heavy computation : ~30,000 CPU hours ; 10 to 100 GB



Structures along the lines of sight



Central 10 pc cover most of the mass in this 2D slice



PDR code run on 1D density profiles above 20 cm^{-3} extracted along lines of sight either parallel to X or Y.

Outputs (temperature, chemical abundances) combined in 2D arrays.

Temperature comparison

Ratio of the temperature computed by the PDR code and the temperature from the MHD simulation



- **Different cooling functions**
- **Steady-state versus dynamical**
- **1D versus 3D**

and yet...

$$0.3 \lesssim \frac{T_{\rm PDR}}{T_{\rm MHD}} \lesssim 2$$

H_3^+ diagnostics





Local CR ionization rate

Dissociative recombination speed constant

$$k_e = -1.3\ 10^{-8} + 1.27\ 10^{-6} T_e^{-0.48}\ \ \mathrm{cm}^3.\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

(Indriolo & McCall 2012)

$$k_e = 6.8\ 10^{-8} \left(\frac{T_e}{300}\right)^{-0.5} \mathrm{cm}^3.\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

(PDR code)

$$(T_e = T)$$

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{local}} = k_e n(e) \frac{n(\mathrm{H}_3^+)}{n(\mathrm{H}_2)}$$

Dense : $\zeta_{\mathrm{local}}/\zeta_0 \simeq 0.8 - 1$

Diffuse : $\zeta_{\mathrm{local}}/\zeta_0 \simeq 0.2 - 0.5$



Local vs. line-of-sight CR ionization rate

On each LOS, compute mean and standard deviation of the local CR ionization rate



- Average local values follow the LOS integrated estimates
- Large scatter around the mean
- Systematic underestimation with respect to input CR ionization rate

Changing the input CR ionization rate



$$\zeta = 3.5^{+5.3}_{-3.0}\ 10^{-16}\ s^{-1}$$

Indriolo & McCall 2012



Changing the input CR ionization rate

$\zeta_0 = 2\ 10^{-16}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$



$\zeta_0 = 8\ 10^{-16}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$



- H3+ abundances globally enhanced by CR ionization rate enhancement
- Local vs. line-of-sight averaged CR ionization rate correlation remains unchanged

Main H and C bearers in the PDR/MHD simulation



- C⁺ closely follows the total gas density, except in the densest regions.
- CO only in the densest regions

Simulated observations in CO and C$^+$



Radiative transfer with RADEX (LVG approximation)





$f_{\mathrm{H}_2} = 1/2$

$W_{\mathrm{CO}} = 0.4\ \mathrm{K.km.s}^{-1}$

$\sigma_{\mathrm{CII}} = 0.1 - 0.2\ \mathrm{K.km.s}^{-1}$

Levrier et al. 2012

Velusamy et al. 2010

"Dark neutral gas" fraction through the cloudlet



$$f_{\rm DG}(X) = 1 - \frac{\int_{Y_{\rm CO}(X)} n({\rm H_2})\mathrm{d}Y}{\int_{Y_0(X)}^{Y_1(X)} n({\rm H_2})\mathrm{d}Y}$$

- At least 20% of H_2 not traced by CO
- Averaged "dark neutral gas" fraction 0.32
- Somewhat higher than Velusamy et al. 2010, comparable to Wolfire et al. 2010

Density fluctuations vs. uniform density : CO



Observational fit

$$d[\log N(CO)]/d[\log N(H_2)] \simeq 3.07 \pm 0.73$$

Sheffer et al. 2008

- Maximum column densities are about 3 times as low in the uniform models
- CO vs H2 column densities correlate better

Density fluctuations vs. uniform density : CH



Observational fit

$$\mathrm{d}\left[\log N\left(\mathrm{CH}\right)\right]/\mathrm{d}\left[\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_2\right)\right] \simeq 1.09 \pm 0.19$$

Sheffer et al. 2008

- Maximum column densities are about twice as low in the uniform models
- CO vs H2 column densities correlation agrees better with observations

Summary and perspectives

- LOS-integrated CR ionization rate agrees with local estimates
- Large scatter from different physical conditions : large sample required
- CR ionization rate systematically lower than input value, especially at low densities
- "Dark neutral gas" fraction agrees with Herschel observations and independent PDR models
- Observational relations better reproduced when including density fluctuations

....

- Simple chemistry for H3+ ideal for coupled dynamical and chemical 3D simulations (Lesaffre)
- Illumination effects : on-the-fly shadowing in RAMSES (Valdivia)
- Additional energy inputs : TDR/MHD (Godard) and shock models (Lesaffre)
- CR propagation through a fractal medium